

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,381	02/02/2004	Michael F. Mattei	146003-0001	9679	
24267 . 7	24267 7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER		
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP 88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210			FINEMAN, LEE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>B</b> 001011, MIT 02210		2872			
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/09/2009	DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

38
----

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/770,381	MATTEI, MICHAEL F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lee Fineman	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,—	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atian ya quiramant				
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/04. 6) Uther:						

Application/Control Number: 10/770,381 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 340 (fig. 3) and 17,058 (fig. 17). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 21, line 8 "internal sliding coupling surface 810" should be --internal sliding coupling surface 812-- and on page 27, line 6 "cylindrical coupling 17,042" should be --cylindrical coupling 17,030--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 1, 4 and 8 include the limitations "the 45 degree angle deflector" (claim 1, line 18; claim 4, line 8 and claim 8, line 19) and "the cylindrical coupling" (claim 1, lines 18-19; claim 4, line 9 and claim 8, lines 19-20) which lack antecedent basis.

Claims 3, 7 and 8 all include the limitation "grove" which should be --groove--.

The dependent claims inherit the deficiencies of the claims from which they depend.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krewalk et al., US 4,682,091 in view of Lederman et al., US 2004/0150882 A1.

Regarding claim 4, Krewalk et al. disclose a telescope (10) comprising a tube (12), a prime focusing mirror and a secondary mirror (column 5, line 53, as a Schmitt type telescope it includes a prime focusing mirror and a secondary mirror) to form a real image behind the telescope (at eyepiece 14); a fork mount having a base (20, 22) and two arms (18a and 18b) to hold the telescope tube (figs. 2 and 8); a 45 degree angle reflector (unnumbered) deflects light from the telescope (10) by 90 degree (to the eyepiece), the 45 degree angle reflector attaching to external threads (shown by knurled ring in figs. 2 and 5) at the end of the telescope tube (12); and a cylindrical coupling (part of 14) mated at a first end with a downstream opening of the 45

Art Unit: 2872

10

degree angle reflector (fig. 5). Krewalk et al. disclose the claimed invention except for explicitly stating that the cylindrical coupling member having a threaded coupling at a downstream end to which a CCD camera is attached so that the CCD camera clears the base of the fork mount when the telescope is in the 90 degree declination position. Lederman et al. teach in figs. 1-9 apparatus for connecting a remote viewer (e.g., a camera) to a telescope (page 1, section [0003]) including using conventional coupling techniques like appropriately matched sets of male and female (i.e. external and internal) threads to couple the optical components (see page 4, section [0035], lines 8-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the cylindrical coupling member of Krewalk et al. have a threaded coupling at a downstream end to attach the optical elements as threaded couplings are a reliable, commonly available way to connect elements. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect a camera to the cylinder coupling of Krewalk et al. as suggested by Lederman et al. to be able to record the viewed image. Further, Official Notice is taken that CCD cameras are very well known type of camera and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the camera a CCD camera to be able to easily manipulate the digital image data. Finally, as shown in fig. 5 of Krewalk et al., the optical system (14) clears the base of the fork mount when the telescope is in the 90 degree declination position and even with the addition of the CCD camera to the end of the system it would still clear the base of the fork mount when the telescope is in the 90 degree declination position.

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Krewalk et al. in view of Lederman et al. as set forth above, further disclose an axle (92, fig. 8, Krewalk) to rotate the fork mount along a first axis (B, fig 2,

Art Unit: 2872

Krewalk) perpendicular to the base (20, 22, Krewalk) and parallel to the arms (18a and 18b, Krewalk), the base rotating about a location midway between the two arms, to cause the fork to rotate in right ascension when the axis points toward a celestial pole (column 5, line 66-column 6, line 3, Krewalk), rotatable attachments (36, Krewalk) to permit rotating the tube in a plane midway between the two arms of the fork mount (fig. 2, Krewalk), the plane substantially perpendicular to the base of the fork mount (fig. 2, Krewalk), to cause the tube to rotate in declination when the axis points toward a celestial pole (column 5, lines 62-66, Krewalk). Krewalk et al. in view of Lederman et al. as set forth above disclose the claimed invention except for including a field adjuster that is attached to the threaded coupling of the cylindrical coupling member and the CCD camera. Lederman et al. further teach in fig. 9 including a field adjuster (part C) in the system between the threaded coupling of the cylindrical coupling member and the CCD camera. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a field adjuster to the system to increase the flexibility of the telescope for a variety of observing applications (Lederman, page 6, section [0053], lines 29-32).

Regarding claims 2-3, 6-7 and 8, Krewalk et al. in view of Lederman et al. as set forth above disclose the claimed invention except for smooth internal mating surface in the downstream of the 45 degree angle reflector and a smooth external mating surface in the first end of the cylindrical coupling member, the smooth external mating surface fitting into the smooth internal mating surface of the 45 degree angle reflector, and a groove in the cylindrical coupling member and a setscrew in the 45 degree angle reflector, the setscrew mating with the groove to hold the cylindrical coupling member securely in place. Lederman et al. further teach conventional coupling techniques like a sliding fit of appropriately sized concentric cylinders

Art Unit: 2872

way to connect elements.

، ، ور

(i.e. smooth internal and external mating surfaces) and position locking (i.e. groove and setscrew) to couple the optical components (see page 4, section [0036], lines 24-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the 45 degree angle reflector and the cylindrical coupling member of Krewalk et al. in view of Lederman et al. have smooth internal and external mating surfaces and groove and setscrew as further suggested by Lederman et al. as sliding fit couplings are a reliable, commonly available

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Varner et al., US 2002/0171924 A1; Nelson, US 6,286,963 B1; Stratton, Jr., US 6,357,937 B1; Korte, US 3,399,612; Burr, US 4,709,178; and Baun et al., US 6,563,636 B1 disclose various telescope systems with 45 degree angle reflectors and/or camera attachments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Fineman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2313. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2872

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LAF

August 5, 2005

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER